## Category: Large Deformation Analysis, Dynamics, Member

## Verification Example: 0118 - Mathematical Pendulum

## 0118 - Mathematical Pendulum

## Description

The mathematical pendulum consists of a zero-weight rope and a mass point at its end. The pendulum is initially deflected by angle $\varphi(0)=\varphi_{0}$. Determine the angle $\varphi(t)$ of the rope at given test time $t$. The problem is shown in Figure 1 and it is described by the following set of parameters.

| System <br> Properties | Mass | $m$ | 50.000 | kg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Cable Length | $L$ | 1.414 | m |
|  | Initial Angle | $\varphi_{0}$ | $\pi / 4$ | rad |
|  | Gravitational <br> Acceleration | $g$ | 9.810 | $\mathrm{~ms}^{-2}$ |



Figure 1: Problem Sketch

## Analytical Solution

The problem can be solved by means of the Lagrange equations of the second kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial E_{k}(q, \dot{q}, t)}{\partial \dot{q}}-\frac{\partial E_{k}(q, \dot{q}, t)}{\partial q}=Q \tag{118-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{k}=\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}\right)$ is the kinetic energy, $q=(x, y)$ is the generalized coordinate, and $Q$ is the sum of the generalized forces. The dot denotes the time derivative. In this case, the kinetic energy is defined for the mass point in the directions $x$ and $y$. It is convenient to choose the polar angle $\varphi(t)$ for the generalized coordinate $q$, so there is only one variable. Considering the following relations for the velocities $\dot{x}$ and $\dot{y}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
x=L \sin \varphi & \Rightarrow \quad \dot{x}=L \dot{\varphi} \cos \varphi  \tag{118-2}\\
y=L \cos \varphi & \Rightarrow \quad \dot{y}=-L \dot{\varphi} \sin \varphi \tag{118-3}
\end{align*}
$$

the kinetic energy can be expressed as
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$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=\frac{1}{2} m L^{2} \dot{\varphi}^{2} \tag{118-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized force $Q$ can be determined by the principle of virtual works. The variation $\delta y$ is defined analogously to the above calculated velocity $\dot{y}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta y=-L \delta \varphi \sin \varphi \tag{118-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \delta \varphi=-m g \delta y=-m g L \sin \varphi \delta \varphi \tag{118-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalized force $Q$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=-m g L \sin \varphi \tag{118-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (118-4) and (118-7) into the Lagrange equation (118-1), the following motion equation is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\varphi}=\frac{g}{L} \sin \varphi . \tag{118-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a non-linear second-order differential equation, which is further solved numerically, for example, by the Runge-Kutta method.

For the small deflections, it could be linearized as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \varphi \approx \varphi \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ddot{\varphi}=\frac{g}{L} \varphi \tag{118-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## RFEM 5 and RSTAB 8 Settings

- Modeled in RFEM 5.17.01 and RSTAB 8.17.01


## Results

| Structure Files | Program | Solution Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0118.01 | RFEM 5 - RF-DYNAM Pro | Explicit analysis |
| 0118.02 | RFEM 5 - RF-DYNAM Pro | Nonlinear implicit Newmark analysis |
| 0118.03 | RSTAB 8 - DYNAM Pro | Explicit analysis, Large <br> Deformation Analysis |

The comparison of the analytical solution with RFEM 5 and RSTAB 8 solutions can be seen in Figure 2. The results at test time $t_{1}=0.5 \mathrm{~s}$ and $t_{2}=2 \mathrm{~s}$ follows.
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Figure 2: Analytical and RFEM 5 / RSTAB 8 solution

| Model | Analytical Solution | RFEM 5 / RSTAB 8 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\varphi(0.5)$ <br> $[\mathrm{rad}]$ | $\varphi(0.5)$ <br> $[\mathrm{rad}]$ | Ratio <br> $[-]$ |
| RFEM 5, Explicit <br> analysis |  | -0.529 | 0.967 |
| RFEM 5, Nonlinear <br> implicit Newmark <br> analysis | -0.547 | -0.537 | 0.982 |
| RSTAB 8, Explicit <br> analysis, Large De- <br> formation Analysis |  | -0.547 | 1.000 |
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| Model | Analytical Solution | RFEM 5 / RSTAB 8 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\varphi(2)$ <br> $[\mathrm{rad}]$ | $\varphi(2)$ <br> $[\mathrm{rad}]$ | Ratio <br> $[-]$ |
| RFEM 5, Explicit <br> analysis |  | -0.585 | 1.147 |
| RFEM 5, Nonlinear <br> implicit Newmark <br> analysis | -0.510 | -0.521 | 1.022 |
| RSTAB 8, Explicit <br> analysis, Large De- <br> formation Analysis |  | -0.511 | 1.002 |

