## Category: Geometrically Linear Analysis, Isotropic Linear Elasticity, Plate

## Verification Example: 0071 - Clamped Elliptic Plate Under Transversal Load

## 0071 - Clamped Elliptic Plate Under Transversal Load

## Description

An elliptic plate with clamped boundary is subjected to a uniformly distributed transversal load $p$. Assuming small deformation theory and neglecting self-weight, determine the maximum out-of-plane deflection $u_{\max }$ of the plate.

| Material | Linear Elastic | Modulus of <br> Elasticity | $E$ | 50.000 | GPa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  |  | Poisson's <br> Ratio | $\nu$ | 0.200 | - |
| Geometry | Ellipse | Thickness | $t$ | 0.200 | m |
|  |  | $a$ | 2.000 | m |  |
|  |  | Semi-Minor <br> Axis Length | $b$ | 1.000 | m |
| Load | Pressure | $p$ | 10.000 | MPa |  |



Figure 1: Problem sketch

## Analytical Solution

The governing differential equation of a plate subjected to a distributed transversal load is related to the biharmonic operator $\nabla^{2} \nabla^{2} u$, more precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \nabla^{2} u=\frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}+2 \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial y^{4}}=\frac{p}{D} \tag{71-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is the out-of-plane deformation of the plate and $D$ the flexural rigidity of the plate

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{E t^{3}}{12\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)} \tag{71-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Verification Example: 0071 - Clamped Elliptic Plate Under Transversal Load

The biharmonic equation ( $\mathbf{7 1} \mathbf{- 1}$ ) is augmented with the clamped boundary condition

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =0 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & =0 \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

According to [1], the deflection surface of the elliptic plate is described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=C\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)^{2} \tag{71-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the semi-major and semi-minor axes of the ellipse, respectively. The constant $C$ can be obtained from substituting (71-6) into (71-1), which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{4}}+2 \frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial x^{2} \partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{4} u}{\partial y^{4}}=8 C\left[3\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{4}{a^{2} b^{2}}\right]=\frac{p}{D} \tag{71-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{p}{8 D\left[3\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{4}{a^{2} b^{2}}\right]} \tag{71-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be seen from the general solution (71-6) that the plate will be deflected the most at its centroid where $x=0$ and $y=0$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\max }=u(0,0)=C=\frac{3 p\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{2 E t^{3}\left[3\left(\frac{1}{a^{2}}+\frac{1}{b^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{4}{a^{2} b^{2}}\right]} \approx 9.763 \mathrm{~mm} \tag{71-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## RFEM 5 Settings

- Modeled in version RFEM 5.06.3039
- The element size is $I_{\mathrm{FE}}=0.01 \mathrm{~m}$
- Geometrically linear analysis is considered
- Number of increments is 1
- Kirchhoff plate theory is used


## Results

| Structure File | Program |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0071.01 | RFEM 5 |



Figure 2: RFEM 5 Solution
As can be seen from the table below, excellent agreement of numerical output with the analytical result was achieved.

| Analytical Solution | RFEM 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u_{\max }$ <br> $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | $u_{\max }$ <br> $[\mathrm{mm}]$ | Ratio <br> $[-]$ |
| 9.763 | 9.763 | 1.000 |
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